Contribution ID: 91d0ef9a-80d9-4ff2-ad0a-8139189c8076

Date: 15/09/2020 10:49:32

Public consultation on the evaluation of the 2011 White Paper 'Roadmap to a Single European Transport Area – Towards a competitive and resource efficient transport system' and on the announced future European Strategy for a Sustainable and Smart Mobility

Fields marked	with *	are	mandator	у.
---------------	--------	-----	----------	----

Introduction

The first part of this questionnaire addresses the EU's past actions on transport policy, and in particular those implemented in the context of the Commission's White Paper for transport adopted in 2011, which defines a long-term vision until 2050 for the transport sector. To date, the Commission has acted upon almost all of the 40 action points listed in the White Paper and delivered on the large majority of the 132 initiatives planned.

An evaluation of the White Paper was launched in February 2019 with the publication of an evaluation roadmap (https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/have-your-say/initiatives/2080-Evaluation-of-the-2011-White-Paper-on-Transport). It covers all areas in which activities have taken place since the adoption of the 2011 White Paper. It looks at the transport needs identified in the paper, the objectives and goals that were set, the proposed initiatives and the outcomes that have been achieved, as well as the overall impact of the strategy since it was put in place.

The second part of this questionnaire looks at future EU actions in the field of transport and mobility, notably in the context of the Communication on the European Green Deal adopted by the European Commission in December 2019 and the preparation of a new Strategy for a Sustainable and Smart Mobility, to be put forward by the European Commission before the end of 2020.

The COVID-19 pandemic has had a severe impact on Europe's mobility and its transport sector. The economic shutdown has meant jobs, incomes and healthy companies have been put at risk in ways not seen in previous crises. The Commission took unprecedented actions that also helped the transport sector, including full flexibility under EU budgetary rules also to give sectoral support, a Temporary State aid framework for liquidity and recapitalisation aid, a European support scheme to keep people at work (SURE) and a European Solvency Instrument. Subsequently, the Communication "Europe's Moment: Repair and Prepare for the Next Generation"[1] set the direction for Europe's recovery, including in transport. In line with this Communication, Europe must invest in protecting and creating jobs and in the competitive sustainability of its transport sector by building a fairer, greener and more digital and resilient future for it.

Europe must repair the short-term damage from the crisis in a way that also invests in the long-term future of mobility. To achieve this aim, the EU must show clarity of purpose and certainty of direction in its policies.

In this context, the questions in this section enquire about the challenges and necessary policy responses for transport and mobility to master the twin green and digital transitions and to transform itself into a resilient transport system that can withstand future crises, that is fit for the future and backed up by an industrial supply chain that can lead in a modern world. Against this backdrop, the European Green Deal states that in order for the EU to meet the ambition of climate neutrality by 2050, the transport sector must decrease its emissions by 90% by 2050 and should become drastically less polluting, especially in cities. In parallel, please note that the European Commission is also running an open public consultation on increasing the EU's climate ambition for 2030 as well as on the design of certain climate and energy policies of the European Green Deal, which also address transport and mobility (https://ec.europa.eu/info /law/better-regulation/have-your-say/initiatives/12265-2030-Climate-Target-Plan/public-consultation). This is part of the preparation for the 2030 Climate Target Plan, foreseen to be adopted by the Commission in the third quarter of 2020, which will also have a significant impact on EU transport and mobility policies.

The sector should contribute to the zero-pollution ambition of the European Green Deal, focusing on mitigating the impact of transport on our climate and natural environment, from emissions reductions to air, water and noise pollution. Road, rail, aviation, and waterborne transport all have to make a significant effort to reduce emissions and negative environmental impacts in order to contribute to this transition. This transition should be an opportunity to improve the health and well-being of our citizens, but also to increase the European Union's strategic autonomy, including in transport and mobility. At the same time, the transition must be just, affordable and inclusive, by putting people first. It also needs to maintain the highest safety and security standards in the transport sector.

The European Commission therefore plans to adopt in 2020 a comprehensive "EU Strategy for a Sustainable and Smart Mobility" aimed at delivering on these objectives. It will set out the key areas and initiatives in transport and mobility where the Commission will consider policy actions to be taken in the coming years and beyond.

It will focus on measures to reduce the impact on greenhouse gases emissions, on the environment and on the health of our citizens in general, and accelerate the shift towards more sustainable mobility. The strategy will also emphasise that these objectives will need to be met whilst modernising the transport sector and making it smarter, more digital, more inclusive and an innovative leading industry at the same time. It will also cover areas such as safety and security, social aspects (including accessibility, availability and affordability), connectivity and Single Market issues, and the external dimension where changes are needed to enable a transport sector fit for a clean, digital, inclusive and modern economy.

Structure of the survey

This open public consultation focuses on both the evaluation of the White Paper and on future EU strategy for a Sustainable and Smart Mobility. (https://ec.europa.eu/eusurvey/runner/EUtransport2020survey)

The first part of the survey focuses on the evaluation of the White Paper and will feed into the analysis of its effectiveness, efficiency, relevance and EU added value. The second part of the survey concerns future strategy. It looks at current and future major challenges for transport and mobility, and possible areas for intervention at European level. It also leaves space for your views on possible measures to address the

challenges identified. You may choose to answer both parts of the questionnaire or only one of them. If you have questions and remarks, please contact:

- with regards to the White Paper evaluation: MOVE-WHITE-PAPER-CONSULTATION@ec.europa.eu
- with regards to the future Sustainable and Smart Mobility strategy: MOVE-MOBILITY-STRATEGY@ec.europa.eu

[1] COM (2020) 456 final.

*Language of my contribution

About you

0	Bulgarian
0	Croatian
0	Czech
0	Danish
0	Dutch
0	English
0	Estonian
0	Finnish
0	French
0	Gaelic
0	German
0	Greek
0	Hungarian
0	Italian
0	Latvian
0	Lithuanian
0	Maltese
	Polish
0	Portuguese
0	Romanian
0	Slovak
0	Slovenian
0	Spanish
	Swedish

*I am giving my contribution as
Academic/research institution
Business association
Company/business organisation
Consumer organisation
EU citizen
Environmental organisation
Non-EU citizen
Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
Public authority
Trade union
Other
* First name
Susanna
* Стимо с по с
*Surname
Caliendo
* Email (this won't be published)
*Email (this won't be published)
europa@region-frankfurt.de
*Scope
International
Local
National
Regional
*Organisation name
255 character(s) maximum
European Office of the Metropolitan Region FrankfurtRheinMain on behalf of the Regional Authority FrankfurtRheinMain, der Regional Transport Provider "Rhein-Main-Verkehrsverbund", the Rhein-Main Servicegesellschaft mbH and "Mobility Inside"

*Organisation size

Micro (1 to 9 employees)

- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the transparency register. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

Country of origin			
Please add your country of origin Afghanistan	n, or that of your organisation. Djibouti	Libya	Saint Martin
[©] Åland Islands	Dominica	Liechtenstein	Saint Pierre and Miquelon
Albania	DominicanRepublic	Lithuania	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
Algeria	Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
American Samoa	Egypt	Macau	San Marino
Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and Príncipe
Angola	Equatorial Guinea	Malawi	Saudi Arabia
Anguilla	Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal
Antarctica	Estonia	Maldives	Serbia
Antigua and Barbuda	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles
Argentina	Ethiopia	Malta	Sierra Leone
Armenia	Falkland Islands	MarshallIslands	Singapore
Aruba	Faroe Islands	Martinique	Sint Maarten
Australia	Fiji	Mauritania	Slovakia
Austria	Finland	Mauritius	Slovenia
Azerbaijan	France	Mayotte	SolomonIslands

Bahamas	French Guiana	Mexico	Somalia
Bahrain	French Polynesia	Micronesia	South Africa
Bangladesh	French	Moldova	South Georgia
	Southern and		and the South
	Antarctic Lands		Sandwich
		0.4	Islands
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia Germany	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	acritially	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar	Svalbard and
<u> </u>		/Burma	Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire Saint	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Eustatius and			
Saba	0 0	O Ni	0 0 4
Bosnia and	Guam	Nepal	Syria
Herzegovina Botswana	Ouatamala	Netherlands	O Taiwan
Dolowana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet IslandBrazil	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Diazii	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
חונוסוו ווועומוו	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
Ocean Territory Rritish Virgin		O Nimor	The Cambia
British VirginIslands	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Brunei	Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste
Bulgaria	Heard Island	Niue	Togo
3 3 3	and McDonald		- 9 -
	Islands		
Burkina Faso	Honduras	Norfolk Island	Tokelau
Burundi	Hong Kong	Northern	Tonga
		Mariana Islands	-

	Tobago
Cameroon Iceland North Macedonia	Tunisia
Canada India Norway	Turkey
Cape Verde Indonesia Oman	Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands Iran Pakistan	Turks and
	Caicos Islands
Central African Iraq Palau Republic	Tuvalu
Chad Ireland Palestine	Uganda
Chile Isle of Man Panama	Ukraine
China Israel Papua New	United Arab
Guinea	Emirates
Christmas Italy Paraguay	United
Island	Kingdom
Clipperton Jamaica Peru	United States
Cocos (Keeling) Japan Philippines	United States
Islands	Minor Outlying
	Islands
Colombia Jersey Pitcairn Islar	nds [©] Uruguay
Comoros Jordan Poland	US Virgin
	Islands
Congo Kazakhstan Portugal	Uzbekistan
Cook Islands Kenya Puerto Rico	Vanuatu
Costa Rica Kiribati Qatar	Vatican City
Côte d'Ivoire Kosovo Réunion	Venezuela
Croatia Kuwait Romania	Vietnam
Cuba Kyrgyzstan Russia	Wallis and
	Futuna
Curaçao Laos Rwanda	Western
	Sahara
Cyprus Latvia Saint	Yemen
Barthélemy	

Czechia	Lebanon	Saint Helena	Zambia
		Ascension and	
		Tristan da	
		Cunha	
Democratic	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and	Zimbabwe
Republic of the		Nevis	
Congo			
Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia	

*Publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only your type of respondent, country of origin and contribution will be published. All other personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number) will not be published.

Public

Your personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number, country of origin) will be published with your contribution.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Part I: 2011 WHITE PAPER EVALUATION

Introduction

Since 2011, the White Paper 'Roadmap to a Single European Transport Area – Towards a competitive and resource efficient transport system'[1] (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/TXT/?uri=celex: 52011DC0144) has been an overarching strategic framework for the priorities, objectives and Commission initiatives in the area of EU transport policy.

The White Paper defined a long-term strategy to help the EU transport system achieve the overall goal of EU transport policy – to provide current and future generations with access to safe, secure, reliable and affordable mobility resources that meet their own needs and aspirations, while minimising undesirable impacts such as congestion, accidents, air and noise pollution, and climate change.

The 2011 White Paper[2] identified and sought to address three main problems that transport was facing in 2011:

- an increasing oil price and persistent oil dependency;
- growing congestion and poor connectivity;

a deteriorating climate and local environment (i.e. pollution).

The White Paper set out a vision for sustainable resource-efficient transport by 2050 as a basis for developing an integrated, sustainable and efficient transport system for the EU. To this end, the White Paper defined a work programme comprising 132 initiatives, both legislative and non-legislative, which were grouped around 40 different action points.

Ten quantitative and qualitative headline targets (https://ec.europa.eu/transport/sites/transport/files/themes /strategies/doc/2011_white_paper/white-paper-illustrated-brochure_en.pdf) were also set out in the White Paper. Several of them define concrete quantitative milestones that serve as benchmarks for measuring progress towards the objectives of the White Paper.

As some targets were expected to be met by 2030 or even 2020, it is now appropriate to review the progress made, to identify persisting and new challenges that influence the actions set out in the White Paper, and to evaluate the relevance of the White Paper against the backdrop of evolving energy, climate, environmental and industrial policies.

[1] COM(2011) 144 final.

Effective

[2] More detailed analysis can be found in the accompanying Staff Working Document: SEC(2011) 391 final of 28.3.2011.

A. Effectiveness of the White Paper strategy

1. The White Paper sets out three main general objectives: reduce GHG emissions by 60% by 2050, reduce oil dependency of the transport sector and reduce congestion. In your view, the EU transport initiatives in the last ten years:

	Completely disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat agree	Fully agree	No opinion
helped reduce the greenhouse gas emissions linked to transport activities in the EU.	©	•	•	•	0	0
helped reduce the use of oil in transport.	0	0	0	•	0	0
helped reduce the congestion on the roads in daily traffic.	0	•	0	0	0	0

1.1. Has the 2011 White Paper been effective in reducing greenhouse ga	as
emissions from the various transport modes?	
Very effective	

- NeutralIneffectiveVery ineffective
- 1.2. [If the answer to Question Q1 above is ineffective or very ineffective] Why has the White Paper not been effective in reducing greenhouse gas emissions from transport modes?

Though EU legislation resulting from the 2011 White Paper was responsible for the most relevant policy pushes towards sustainable mobility, the overall greenhouse gas emissions in the transport sector did measurably not decline (especially in comparison to other sectors) and therefore the overall assessment can't be positive in this generalized way (while core tools also laying outside the formal EU competencies). But also on EU level reducing "unnecessary" traffic and encouraging sustainable mobility behaviour, especially realising a shift from road to rail/active mobility, was not as much in focus as the policy push for technological innovation in motorised individual transport.

2. Beyond its three main objectives, the EU transport policy strives for safe, secure, reliable, sustainable, fair, accessible and affordable transport services for citizens and businesses across the EU. Compared to the situation 10 years ago, how would you assess the contribution of the White Paper strategy on transport to those objectives?

	Completely disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat agree	Fully agree	No opinion
Access to transport services has improved for passengers and commuters.	0	0	0	0	•	0
Access to freight transport services has improved for companies.	0	0	•	0	0	0
Individual transport activities produce less negative effects for other people and the environment.	0	0	•	0	0	0
Mobility needs of the current generation are met with a lower burden on future generations.	0	•	0	0	0	0
The price of the transport services better reflects their external costs (i.e. climate change, noise and air pollution, accidents, biodiversity loss increased land use, etc.).	0	•	0	0	0	0
Safety of transport services across the EU has improved.	0	0	0	0	•	0
Security of transport services across the EU has improved.	0	0	0	•	0	0
Transport services have become more reliable and of higher quality.	0	0	0	•	0	0
Transport has become more affordable.	0	0	0	•	0	0
Booking tickets for buses/trains/planes online has become easier.	0	0	0	0	•	0
Consulting transport timetables online has become easier.	0	0	0	0	•	0
Planning and booking tickets for a trip combining several modes of passenger transport in one trip (e.g. train and plane) has become easier.	0	0	0	•	0	0

Combining several modes of freight transport in one transport operation (e. g. road and train or inland waterways) has become more efficient and accessible.	0	0	•	0	0	•
The rights of passengers of buses/trains/planes departing in the EU are better respected.	0	0	0	•	0	0
Conditions for employment in the EU transport sector have improved.	0	0	0	0	0	•

3. To achieve objectives of EU transport policy, the White Paper includes a comprehensive list of initiatives, grouped into several areas of action. Today, in all these areas, the Commission has launched initiatives, many of them legislative, others non-legislative. In your view, to what extent the progress made under each area of actions contributes towards the achievement of the EU transport policy, as stated in the White Paper?

3.1 Single European Transport Area

Rules and initiatives were adopted to improve the functioning of the Single Market for transport services, to remove barriers for market entry and to increase efficiency. Initiatives have covered all transport modes, such as improved access to domestic passenger rail markets, an integrated approach to freight corridor management, completing the Single European Sky, better connected EU ports through a "blue belt", a sustainable framework for inland navigation, less restrictions to cabotage in road freight, better market access for bus and coach services, and a framework for information exchange and transport management along the multimodal freight transport logistics chain.

The contribution of the actions under the Single European Transport Area (as described above) has been:

at mo	ost 1 choice(s)
	Very positive
V	Positive
	Neither positive, nor negative
	Negative
	Very negative
	No opinion

3.2 Promoting quality jobs and working conditions

In this area, the Commission has initiated a social code for mobile road transport workers, a social agenda form maritime transport and the establishment of EU-wide minimum quality and service standards for workers in the whole aviation chain.

The contribution of the actions under the Single European Transport Area (as described above) has been:

at most 1 choice(s)	
Very positive	;
Positive	
Neither posit	ive, nor negative
Negative	
Very negativ	е
No opinion	

3.3 Secure transport

Rules and initiatives have been adopted to increase the security of air cargo and air passengers, to establish an expert group on land transport security and to improve the "end-to-end" security along the supply chain.

The contribution of the actions under the Single European Transport Area (as described above) has been:

at most 1 choice(s)
Very positive
Positive
Neither positive, nor negative
Negative
Very negative
No opinion

3.4 Transport safety

In this area, rules and initiatives have been adopted inter alia for the deployment of road safety technologies, a road worthiness package, a European strategy for civil aviation safety, as well as initiatives on safer shipping, rail safety improvements in the context of the 4th railway package and streamlined rules for the intermodal transport of dangerous goods.

The contribution of the actions under the Single European Transport Area (as described above) has been:

at mo	ost 1 choice(s)
	Very positive
1	Positive
	Neither positive, nor negative
	Negative
	Very negative
	No opinion

3.5 Service quality and reliability

In this area, the Commission has launched an initiative to develop a uniform interpretation of EU law on passenger rights and has assembled common principles applicable to passengers' rights in all transport modes. It has issued guidelines concerning the rights of disabled persons and persons with reduced mobility. The Commission has also adopted specifications for the provision of EU-wide multimodal travel information services and issued guidance on continuity of passenger mobility following disruption of the transport system.

The contribution of the actions under the Single European Transport Area (as described above) has been:

at most	1	choice(s)

Very positive
Positive
Neither positive, nor negative
Negative
Very negative
No opinion
3.6 Research and innovation in the transport sector
In this area, rules and initiatives have been adopted inter alia to support the development of clean, safe and silent vehicles, the deployment of technologies to improve transport security and safety (e.g. deployment of eCall), the development of new transport systems (unmanned aircraft etc.), as well as a sustainable alternative fuels strategy and innovations for sustainable urban mobility. Regulatory initiatives in this area include inter alia standards for CO2 emissions of vehicles, vehicle standards for noise emission levels, a revised test cycle to measure emissions, interoperability standards for charging and refuelling infrastructure, eco-driving requirements, as well as specifications of access conditions for transport data for safety and security.
The contribution of the actions under the Single European Transport Area (as
described above) has been:
at most 1 choice(s)
□ Very positive
Positive
Neither positive, nor negative
Negative
Very negative
No opinion
3.7 Integrated urban mobility
In this area, the Commission supports and monitors Member States' establishment of sustainable urban mobility plans. It also has proposed an EU framework for urban road user charging and a strategy for 'zero-emission' urban logistics.
The contribution of the actions under the Single European Transport Area (as
described above) has been:
at most 1 choice(s)
Very positive
Positive
Neither positive, nor negative
Negative

Very negative

No opinion

3.8 Modern infrastructure and smart funding

In this area, the TEN-T Guidelines and the Connecting Europe Facility provide the framework and the funding for developing strategic European infrastructure which takes into account energy efficiency needs and climate change challenges. Moreover, initiatives adopted in this area have aimed to deploy large-scale intelligent and interoperable technologies (such as SESAR, ITS, ERTMS, RIS, etc.) and to create a multimodal freight corridor structure. The Connecting Europe Facility has created a new funding framework of transport infrastructure. Private sector engagement has been fostered inter alia through the European Fund for Strategic Investment (EFSI). Initiatives for smart pricing and taxation include proposals such as a revised Eurovignette Directive.

The contribution of the actions under the Single European Transport Area (as described above) has been:

t most 1 choice(s)
Very positive
Positive
Neither positive, nor negative
Negative
Very negative
No opinion

3.9 External dimension of EU transport

The White Paper includes an area of actions on the international role of EU transport. This includes inter alia initiatives to extend internal market rules to international organisations and trade partners, to complete the European common aviation area, to take action in multilateral forums to tackle energy efficiency needs, climate change and terrorism, to bring container shipping under EU antitrust rules and to enhance transport policy cooperation with neighbouring countries of the EU.

The contribution of the actions under the Single European Transport Area (as described above) has been:

at most 1 choice(s)
Very positive
Positive
Neither positive, nor negative
Negative
Very negative
No opinion

4. Do you think the White Paper has identified the right areas of action to address the challenges facing the EU transport sector?

at most 1 choice(s)					
Yes					
□ No					
No opinion					

5. Has there been any area of action missing in the White Paper, which you would have liked to have included? Please specify:

The nexus Digitalisation and Transport needs additional attention, given the rising importance of transport management systems, CAAM or MAAS.

- 6. What impact have the following technological and societal developments had since the adoption of the White Paper in 2011?
- 6.1 Increased uptake of digital technologies by transport service operators and emergence of new business models in transport services (e.g. ride-sharing, ride-hailing, mobility as a service).

	Positive	Slightly positive	Neither positive nor negative	Slightly negative	Negative	No opinion
Reducing greenhouse gas emissions	0	•	0	0	0	0
Reducing transport's dependency on oil	0	•	0	0	0	0
Making transport more affordable	0	0	•	0	0	0
Improving access to transport services	•	0	0	0	0	0
Improving safety, security, reliability of transport services	0	•	0	0	0	0
Limiting the growth of congestion	0	•	0	0	0	0
Minimising external costs of transport activities to society	0	0	•	0	0	0
Enhanced competition between transport service providers	0	0	0	0	0	•
Improved competitiveness of EU transport industries in the global market	0	•	0	0	0	0

6.2 New technological trends in manufacturing: artificial intelligence, automation, electrification.

	Positive	Slightly positive	Neither positive nor negative	Slightly negative	Negative	No opinion
Reducing greenhouse gas emissions	0	•	0	0	0	0
Reducing transport's dependency on oil	•	0	0	0	0	0
Making transport more affordable	0	0	•	0	0	0
Improving access to transport services	0	•	0	0	0	0
Improving safety, security, reliability of transport services	0	0	•	0	0	0
Limiting the growth of congestion	0	•	0	0	0	0
Minimising external costs of transport activities to society	0	0	•	0	0	0
Enhanced competition between transport service providers	0	0	0	0	0	•
Improved competitiveness of EU transport industries in the global market	0	0	0	•	0	0

6.3 New individual mobility patterns (such as car-sharing, e-bikes, e-kick scooters in urban transport).

	Positive	Slightly positive	Neither positive nor negative	Slightly negative	Negative	No opinion
Reducing greenhouse gas emissions	0	0	•	0	0	0
Reducing transport's dependency on oil	0	0	•	0	0	0
Making transport more affordable	0	0	•	0	0	0
Improving access to transport services	©	•	0	0	0	0

Improving safety, security, reliability of transport services	0	0	0	•	0	0
Limiting the growth of congestion	0	•	0	0	0	0
Minimising external costs of transport activities to society	0	0	•	0	0	0
Enhanced competition between transport service providers	0	0	0	0	0	•
Improved competitiveness of EU transport industries in the global market	0	©	•	0	0	0

6.4 Growing e-commerce: online shopping, home deliveries and integrated supply chains.

	Positive	Slightly positive	Neither positive nor negative	Slightly negative	Negative	No opinion
Reducing greenhouse gas emissions	0	0	0	•	0	0
Reducing transport's dependency on oil	0	0	•	0	0	0
Making transport more affordable	0	0	•	0	0	0
Improving access to transport services	0	0	•	0	0	0
Improving safety, security, reliability of transport services	0	0	•	0	0	0
Limiting the growth of congestion	0	0	0	•	0	0
Minimising external costs of transport activities to society	0	0	•	0	0	0
Enhanced competition between transport service providers	0	0	0	0	0	•
Improved competitiveness of EU transport industries in the global market	0	0	•	0	0	0

6.5 Are there any of	ther trends or	developments	not listed	above?	(please	specify
and assess it):						

1000	,	/ 1	,
7/1/1/1	charactar	101	mavimiim
1000	Ullalaulu	131	maximum

Active Mobility (biking and walking)		

Other

	Positive	Slightly positive	Neither positive nor negative	Slightly negative	Negative	No opinion
Reducing greenhouse gas emissions	•	0	0	0	0	0
Reducing transport's dependency on oil	•	0	0	0	0	0
Making transport more affordable	•	0	0	0	0	0
Improving access to transport services	0	•	0	0	0	0
Improving safety, security, reliability of transport services	0	•	0	0	0	0
Limiting the growth of congestion	•	0	0	0	0	0
Minimising external costs of transport activities to society	•	0	0	0	0	0
Enhanced competition between transport service providers	0	0	0	0	0	•
Improved competitiveness of EU transport industries in the global market	0	0	0	0	0	•

B. Relevance of the White Paper

7. Today, how would you rate the importance of the following objectives set out in the 2011 White Paper?

	0 (= not important)	1	2	3	4	5 (= very important)	No opinion
Reduce transport- related GHG emissions	0	0	0	0	©	•	0

Drastically reduce the oil-dependency ratio of transport-related activities	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
Limit the growth of congestion	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
Allow basic access to transport services and allow development of mobility needs of individuals and companies	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
Ensure that transport needs of the current generation are met without creating excessive burden for coming generations	0	0	0	0	0	•	•
Offer safe, secure and reliable transport services of high quality	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
Ensure that transport is affordable and that it operates fairly and efficiently	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
Promote high quality employment in the transport sector	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
Minimise the external costs of transport to society (i.e. costs of accidents, noise and air pollution, biodiversity loss and increased land use)	0	•	0	0	0	•	•

8. The objectives of the 2011 White Paper are underpinned by 10 concrete headline targets that serve as quantitative and qualitative benchmarks for the progress made.

8.1 Please indicate the extent to which you agree (or otherwise) with the following statements regarding the 10 headline targets?

	Fully agree	Somewhat agree	Somewhat disagree	Completely disagree	No opinion
The headline targets are clearly defined.	•	0	0	0	0
The headline targets are realistic (neither too ambitious nor not ambitious enough).	0	•	0	0	0
The headline targets are complete and properly reflect the objectives of the White Paper.	•	0	0	0	0

You can specify you	r answer to Question 8.1 h	nere:
---------------------	----------------------------	-------

3000	charac	ter(s)	maximum

Though very important and ambitious goals, e. g. targets 7 and 8 will not be fulfilled.

8.2 Today, can the headline targets set out in 2011 help us assess the performance of EU and national transport systems in terms of:

	Very useful	Somewhat useful	Neither useful nor useless	Somewhat useless	Very useless	No opinion
Environmental impacts (decarbonisation, reducing air pollution and noise)	•	0	0	0	0	0
Energy and resource efficiency	0	0	•	0	0	0
Level of integration of transport services within and across modes	•	0	0	0	0	0
Quality of service for transport users	0	0	0	0	0	0

9. The 2011 White Paper takes a broad policy approach, meaning it set up a framework with targets, areas
for action and concrete initiatives to address the challenges facing EU transport. It lists a number of
initiatives, which were grouped around action points. We would like to know your view on this way of
approaching the challenges facing the EU transport sector.

9.1 Cor	nsidering the	e challenges	facing EU	transport	policy, t	the White	Paper	with its
action p	points was:							

st 1 choice(s)
Very relevant
Relevant
Somewhat relevant
Somewhat irrelevant
Irrelevant
No opinion

9.2 Would you like to highlight any initiative(s) included in the 2011 White Paper that you consider still relevant, whether implemented or not (please specify)?

1000 character(s) maximum

introduction and completing of TEN-T core-net corridors (34, 35, 37); seamless door-to-door mobility (22); SUMPS (31, 33); emphasis on deployment of research and innovation (especially for multimodality, digital solutions/MAAS, traffic management and CAAM, 24, 25).

9.3 Would you like to highlight any initiative(s) included in the 2011 White Paper that should be abandoned (please specify)?

1000 ch	aracter(s) maximum			

9.4 Do you agree with the following statements?

	Yes	No	No opinion
The 2011 White Paper on transport provided a suitable framework to address the needs of transport policy.	•	0	0
The scope of the White Paper was too narrow. It should not only have focused on transport aspects, but also included energy, industrial, environment and climate policies.	0	•	0
The scope of the White Paper was too wide. It should have focused on a more limited number of key issues for the EU transport sector.	0	•	0

C. EU added value of the White Paper 2011

per, including whether you the implementation of the 40 sp	ecific actions have bee	rms of financial and I n higher or lower tha	numan resources) allon the benefits achieve	ocated to ed.
. Generally, what is yo	Der actions? Benefits are	the relation betw	Costs are higher	No
	higher than costs	costs are equal	than benefits	opinio
For the environment	•			0
For transport equipment manufacturers	•	•	0	0
For transport operators /service providers	•	•	0	0
For transport users /passengers	•	0	0	0
For public administration	•	©	0	0
For society at large	•	©	0	0
2. Are you aware of any ere has been an incread plementation or enforce to the character (s) maximum	use of effort and/or	r costs for your o	organisation (for	or whic

10. Do you believe that having a White Paper for transport policy at EU level had

added-value in addition to the transport policies, which have been pursued in the

Member States at national/regional level?

Part II Future Transport and Mobility Strategy

Introduction

The EU Strategy for a Sustainable and Smart mobility announced as part of the Commission's European Green Deal[1] will set out a vision for Europe's future mobility.

This section of the questionnaire aims at gathering stakeholders' views on key objectives and possible areas of intervention at EU-level to enable a shift towards sustainable transport (including greenhouse gas and other pollutant emissions reduction) as well as to modernise the sector and make it smarter and more inclusive. The questionnaire also enquires how to address these challenges together with the ones related to safety, security, social aspects (including accessibility, availability and affordability), connectivity and Single Market issues, as well as the external dimension of EU policies.

The public consultation forms part of the Commission's broader efforts to consult citizens, Member States' authorities and other stakeholders, including trade associations, industry, consumer and relevant non-governmental organisations.

For more details please consult the future strategy Roadmap (https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/have-your-say/initiatives?&frontEndStage=PLANNING WORKFLOW).

[1] COM(2019) 640 final

1. How severe do you expect the impact of the COVID-19 on connectivity and mobility patterns to be in the short and mid-term? (Please rate from 1 – no impact, to 10 – very severe)

	1 = no impact	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 = very severe
Short term (1-2 years)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0
Mid term (up to 2030)	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0

2. Which lessons should be learnt from the COVID-19 crisis and its impact on connectivity and mobility patterns and behaviour to build a resilient transport system that is fit for the future? If possible, please identify areas for follow up actions (maximum 1500 characters)

1500 character(s) maximum

Though the COVID-19 crisis poses severe short-term challenges on transport providers especially in public transport, the necessary investments in the future-proof sustainable mobility systems should not be shifted back on the agenda. As the public transport system incarnates the potentially green economic recovery to come, the EU spending in the Recovery and Resilience-Facility needs to have a strong transport- and more specific a rail-dimension.

3. Transport accounts for a quarter of the EU's greenhouse gas emissions, and still growing. It is also a major source of air and noise pollution and has a number of negative impacts on the environment. How important are the following principles for guiding EU action to address these environmental issues?

	Important	Somewhat important	Not very important	Not important at all	No opinion
Making the transport system as a whole – each and every transport mode - more sustainable	•	0	0	0	0
Making sustainable alternative solutions available to EU citizens and businesses (e.g. competitive intercity train services, high quality public transport, shared mobility services)	•	•	•	0	0
Respecting fully the polluter-pays principle in all transport modes through measures such as taxes and charges	0	•	0	0	0
Fostering connectivity and access to transport and mobility for all	•	0	0	0	0
Raising awareness about climate and environmental impact of transport and mobility	•	0	0	0	0
Fostering affordability of transport and mobility	•	0	0	0	0

4. In view of climate and environmental challenges, how important is it for EU action to focus on the following areas?

	Very important	Somewhat important	Not very important	Not important at all	No opinion
Increasing the share of more sustainable transport modes (e.g. supporting multimodality, active transport mode such as walking and cycling)	•	•	•	0	0
Improving the efficiency of the whole transport system (g. through better traffic management systems)	•	0	0	0	0
Increasing the uptake of clean vehicles (e.g. by strengthening the CO2 emission standards) and					

ensuring the efficient integration of electric vehicles into the electricity grid	0	©	•	©	0
Increase the uptake of sustainable alternative fuels (e.g. developing recharging/refuelling infrastructure, blending mandates)	•	•	0	0	•
Incentivising sustainable consumer choices and low-emission mobility practices (e.g. increased application of the 'polluter-pays' and 'user-pays' principles, better consumer information on carbon footprint)	•	•	•	•	•
Increasing investment in sustainable transport infrastructure and solutions (e.g. high-speed rail, inland waterways, recharging and refuelling infrastructure)	•	•	•	0	0
Fostering the deployment of innovative digital solutions in transport	•	0	0	0	0
Improving affordability and accessibility of sustainable transport	•	0	0	0	0

Other	please	specify	,.
Other,	piease	Specify	Ι.

1500 characte	er(s) maximum			

5. What are in your view the main drivers which can accelerate the reduction of negative environmental impacts of transport, with the aim of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 90% until 2050?

at most 3 choice(s)

- Increasing investment in new technologies
- Lifting barriers in the Single Market to reduce inefficiencies in transport services
- Making traffic management more seamless and efficient in all modes to eliminate unnecessary emissions
- Incentivizing a modal shift for freight and passengers through investment in multimodal infrastructure
- Maintaining technological neutrality
- Internalizing environmental external costs of transport across all modes

Addressing behavioural change when it comes to consumers choice for transport services

Digitalizing all transport modes and infrastructures

Other, p	lease	specify	
----------	-------	---------	--

1500 character(s) maximum	

6. In the areas that you identified as (very or somewhat) important in Question 3, which would be the key measure that the EU should take?

1500 character(s) maximum

- Completing the TEN-T and strengthening the role of Urban Nodes (as functional urban areas);
- Putting incentives for the modal shift towards rail and active mobility at the heart of the next EU-Mobility Strategy;
- Support seamless door-to-door travelling throughout Europe;
- Support scale-up of Hydrogen in public transport;
- Support SUMPS on level of functional urban area.
- 7. In the areas that you identified as (very or somewhat) important in Question 3, which would be the key measure that national and/or local authorities should take?

1500 character(s) maximum

- Fully implement and ensure compliance with existing EU legislation on emissions;
- Investment in infrastructures for sustainable mobility (rail, walking, biking and alternative fuels)
- very controversial questions like e. g. urban access regulations, urban space management for mobility and taxation incentives should be left to the responsible levels of governance and political bottom-up approaches to ensure public support.
- 8. What conditions are most important for you (as an individual or as an organisation) to switch to a more sustainable way to commute, travel or to transport goods for your business?

at most 3 choice(s)

Availability of environmentally friendly alternatives (e.g. ride-sharing, zero
emission vehicles, public transport)
Availability of convenient alternatives (adapted to your needs)
Availability in general (e.g. connectivity, frequency)
Travel (transport) time
Accessibility of infrastructure (e.g. stations)

Safety (with respect to accidents)

Security (with respect to potential thefts and aggressions)

	Quality of service
	Price (alternatives comparable in terms of pricing)
	Innovation and digital access (to the service)
	Magnitude of environmental impact
	Ease of use and payment
V	You are ready to switch/promote the switch within your organisation
	regardless of the conditions

Other, please specify:

1500 character(s) maximum

Encouraging sustainable transport and mobility is part of the self-understanding as employer in the Regional Authority, RMV and rms.

9. How important are the following EU-level policies and actions for land transport decarbonisation in contributing to meet the EU long-term objective to achieve climate neutrality by 2050?

Please rate the items in the table below from 5 (most important) to 1 (least important). Not all options need to be rated.

0	•	0	0	0
0	0	0	•	0
0	0	0	0	•
0	0	•	0	0
0	0	0	•	0
0	0	0	0	•
0	0	0	0	•
0	0	•	0	0
	0			

Promote consumer awareness of available low-carbon vehicles and	0	0	0	•	0
mobility solutions					

10. What complementary measures to the possible inclusion in the EU Emission Trading System should be considered to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from maritime transport?

Please rate the items in the table below from 5 (most important) to 1 (least important). Not all options need to be rated.

	1	2	3	4	5
Research and innovation actions	0	0	0	0	0
Enabling framework to support investment and financing in sustainable technologies technologies including the fleet renewal with cleaner vessels	0	0	0	0	0
Measures to support energy efficiency improvements	0	0	0	0	0
Measures to support the deployment of sustainable alternative fuels	0	0	0	0	0
Measures on pricing	0	0	0	0	0
Measures at port level (e.g. use of shore-side electricity, regulating access of the most polluting ships)	0	0	0	0	0

11. What complementary measures to the inclusion in the EU Emission Trading System should be considered to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from aviation? Please rate the items in the table below from 5 (most important) to 1 (least important). Not all options need to be rated.

	1	2	3	4	5
Research and innovation actions	0	0	0	•	0
Enabling framework to support investment and financing in sustainable technologies	0	0	0	•	0
Measures to support the deployment of sustainable alternative fuels in aviation	0	0	0	0	0
Measures to improve air traffic management (Single European Sky)	0	0	0	0	0
Measures on pricing	0	0	0	0	0
Measures at airport level (e.g. deployment of sustainable alternative fuels in ground movements)	0	0	0	0	0
Enabling more sustainable consumer choices	0	0	0	0	0
Promote modal shift towards rail and coach transport	0	0	0	0	•

2050 and to become drastically less polluting, what other transport and mobility
challenges would need to be tackled by the EU in the next decade?
at most 5 choice(s)
Other impacts of the sector on the environment (e.g. habitat damage)
Congestion and lack of capacity
Digitalisation of the transport sector
Need for transport infrastructure to connect European citizens (connectivity)
Need for infrastructure for active transport modes (e.g. walking, cycling)
Impact of demographic challenges related to an ageing society on transport needs
Discrepancies in access to transport services between rural and urban areas
Swift access to transport and mobility services in a Member State other than
the one you live in
$^{\square}$ Availability and access to charging and refuelling points (e.g. for electric or
hydrogen-powered cars)
Availability of shared mobility solutions (e.g. car, micromobility or bike
sharing)
Safety (e.g. accidents)
Security (e.g. terrorism)
Affordability of transport services (the cost of mobility)
Quality of transport services
Fair working conditions for transport workers
Need for an adequately skilled workforce
Effective protection of consumer and passenger rights
Gender differences in use or access to mobility
Global competition
Other, please specify:
1500 character(s) maximum
13. Given the magnitude of the sustainability and modernisation challenge, where

12. Beside the key challenges to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 90% by

13. Given the magnitude of the sustainability and modernisation challenge, where is an EU action needed to take advantage of the benefits of automation and innovation in the transport sector (e.g. in the field of connected and automated mobility, emerging technologies such as e.g. drones.)?

	Needed	Neutral	Not needed	No opinion
Ensuring a coherent regulatory framework	0	0	0	0
Ensuring a cross-modal approach to regulations and policies	0	•	0	0
Removing barriers to testing and deployment of new solutions	•	0	0	0
Supporting research and innovation	0	0	0	0
Setting interoperability standards	0	0	0	0
Setting safety and security standards	0	0	0	0
Setting appropriate pricing, taxation and financial incentives	0	0	0	0
Facilitating availability and access to data within and across modes	•	0	0	0
Setting social standards	0	0	0	•
Supporting development of skills	0	0	0	0
Helping alleviate security concerns	0	•	0	0
Supporting deployment of new technologies and fair market solutions	•	0	0	0
None of the above	0	©	0	0

Other, please specify:

1	00 character(s) maximum	

14. To what extent do you agree that the factors below remain barriers to achieving truly sustainable, cross-border mobility of passengers and freight in the EU?

	Definitely	To a large extent	Not so much	Not at all	No opinion
Lack of sufficiently well-developed and connected infrastructure	•	0	0	0	0
Lack of interoperability between Member States' infrastructures and services	•	0	0	0	0
Lack of multi-modal infrastructure (e.g. transhipment terminals)	•	0	0	0	0
Insufficient reliability	0	0	•	0	0

Barriers for providers to offer services in different Member States	0	0	•	0	
Lack of EU social standards	0	0	0	0	•
Divergent rules on access to restricted areas (UVARs) in different European cities	0	0	0	•	0

	Other,	please	specify:
--	--------	--------	----------

1500	1500 character(s) maximum						

15. To what extent do you agree that the factors below remain barriers to cross-border, in particular public or collective, passenger transport and mobility as a service options in the EU?

	Definitely	To a large extent	Not so much	Not at all	No opinion
Lack of sufficiently well-developed and connected infrastructure	•	0	0	0	0
Lack of interoperability between Member States' infrastructures and services	•	0	0	0	0
Lack of options to buy different tickets across modes and across borders	•	0	0	0	0
Lack of mobility options (e.g. night trains)	0	0	•	0	0
Insufficient level of passenger protection	0	0	•	0	0
Barriers for providers to offer services in different Member States	0	0	•	0	0
Barriers to new and collaborative services/ mobility as a service options that offer the use of multiple transport modes such as taxis, public transport and cycling).	0	•	0	0	•
Lack of EU social standards	0	0	0	0	•
Divergent rules on access to restricted areas (UVARs) in different European cities	0	0	0	•	0

Other,	please	specify
--------	--------	---------

1500 character(s) maxin	num		

perspective for the next 10-15 years?

at most 3 choice(s)

Potential transformation or loss of existing jobs

Need for reskilling of current workers

Availability of (qualified) workforce

Fair working conditions for transport workers

Gender gap within the transport sector workforce

Access to profession, including mutual recognition of licences

Transfer of staff

Other, please specify:

1500 character(s) maximum

16. In light of the sustainability and modernisation transition facing the transport

sector, what do you see as the main challenges from the transport workforce

17. Achieving sustainable transport means putting users first and ensuring they trust different mobility solutions. What do you see as the main safety and security issues in the transport sector for the next 10-15 years?

	Very relevant	Relevant	Somewhat relevant	Not very relevant	Not relevant at all	No opinion
Improving road safety, in particular reducing the impact of unsafe behaviour (e.g. use of alcohol or drugs, speeding, distractions due to smartphone use, etc.)	•	•	•	•	•	•
Improving road safety, in particular the safety of vulnerable road users (pedestrians, cyclists, etc.)	•	•	•	•	•	•
Improving road safety, in particular at rail level-crossings	•	•	0	0	0	0
Improving rail safety	0	0	0	•	0	0
Improving safety of waterborne transport	0	0	0	0	0	•
Improving aviation safety	0	0	0	0	0	•

Addressing terrorist threats	0	0	•	0	0	0
Addressing cybersecurity threats	0	•	0	0	0	0
Addressing extreme weather conditions	0	•	0	0	0	0

Other, please specify:

1	500 character(s) maximum		

18. Please shortly describe any specific measures at EU level that you think would be particularly effective in addressing the challenges highlighted by you in the previous questions

2000 character(s) maximum

As the CEF-T will unfortunately only receive a very modest budget in the new MFF (especially for "traditional" projects in non-cohesion countries), a focus on the urban nodes and supporting new infrastructures for the shift to rail and active mobility, strengthening public transport and better connecting regional and long-distance transport is necessary.

More concretely this can be achieved by strengthening the role of urban nodes in the future TEN-T guidelines. The TEN-T will only be as efficient and green as the urban nodes in metropolitan regions allow, thus supporting urban nodes can be of the same EU-wide systemic relevance as cross-border projects. These urban nodes shouldn't be reduced to single administrative cities; instead, the functional urban areas need to be looked at. Only then the interdependencies between and within long-distance and regional transport structures and their better multimodal connection can be tackled adequately. Furthermore, rail transport should become a full part of the TEN-T picture by incorporating rail nodes in Article 41 and Annex II. 2 in the TEN-T guidelines, thus supporting the modal shift. Better links between the revised SUMP concept and TEN-T might be achieved by incorporating the support for sustainable and active mobility into the aims and functions for urban nodes as spelled out in Article 30 of the TEN-T guidelines.

Given the recent technological developments the digital dimension must also obviously play a much stronger role in the future EU-mobility strategy. In general, the EU-funding for digital and transport programmes schould be stronger linked to each other. Horizon Europe funds could ensure that MAAS, seamless-travelling, integrated mobility management and CAAM experience a boost over the next years. Whereas a lot of research and development was done in the past years, the real life-deployment and mainstreaming of such innovative solutions needs further attention and support.

Further information

If you wish to add further information or comments – relevant to the scope of this questionnaire – please feel free to do so here:

3000 character(s) maximum

Planning procedures:

A lot depends on new infrastructures for sustainable transport - but long-lasting planning and realisation

phases especially for bigger projects are a severe challenge in Germany. The announced revision of the EU-"Aarhus Regulation" should take this into account and avoid any additional, potentially hampering procedural provisions.

Role of functional areas/regional governance:

We see the SUMP-concept as a very useful tool for a participative, integrated and multimodal approach to organise sustainable mobility, which should be further supported in the future. But in this context we perceive the need for a "zoom out" in scope and like to stress the crucial role of the structures in the functional areas and its often peri-urban characteristica (s. above, functional urban areas in TEN-T urban nodes). Taking these territorial dimension in transport seriously is highly relevant for realising effective connectivity and accessibility, making the sustainable transport modes the logical/best choice for individual users and avoiding "unnecessary" transport through a close alignment of transport planning, regional development strategies and land-use planning. Therefore cooperation mechanisms for regional governance in the functional transport area are key - but often not fully grasped by the existing EU NUTS-classifications. In the FrankfurtRheinMain Region formal sectoral regional governance, like the setup of our regional public transport provider RMV and its "Nahverkehrsplan", goes hand in hand with soft coordination mechanisms like the joint infrastructure development-strategy for the rail node Frankfurt "FrankfurtRheinMainPlus" (with local, regional and federal actors) and the multimodal, integrated realisation of a Masterplan Mobility by the Regional Authority FrankfurtRheinMain ("regional SUMP", with amongst other things introducing mobility hubs).

Please feel free to upload a concise document, such as additional evidence supporting your responses, or a position paper. Please note that the uploaded document will be published alongside your response to the questionnaire, which is an essential input to this public consultation. The document serves as additional background reading that will help readers better understand your position.

Please upload your file

The maximum file size is 1 MB
Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

089201e4-a746-4e32-8bda-a05f943ada9e/EU Mobilit t FRM.pdf

Contact

MOVE-MOBILITY-STRATEGY@ec.europa.eu